		OGC 82-04533	D/16
		10 May 1982	•
	n de nia v		
MEMORANDUM FO	R: See Distribution		
FROM:	Chief, Legislation Divis	ion. OGC	STAT
SUBJECT:	DDCI-Designate Confir		
Mr. McMahon	's confirmation hearing is t	tentatively scheduled for 27 M	ay. I need
your assistance in pr	oviding answers to the atta	ached questions. Please have	your
suggestions returned	I to me at room 7C36. You	r cooperation in responding by	COB
Friday, 14 May, wou	ld be greatly appreciated.		
and the second of the second o			STAT
Attachments: As stated			-
Distribution:			
DDA DDI			
DDO		·	
DDS&T			

Approved For Release 2007/05/02 : CIA-RDP84M00127R000100050035462 5/14

DD/ICS

IG

Comptroller D/OP

VIC Staff

Question:

Although it is not required by law, it has generally been traditional for either the DCI or DDCI to be a military officer. Do you plan to take any special steps to ensure that the viewpoints of the military services are adequately represented after you become DDCI?

Answer:

(NOTE: The only period in CIA history when neither the DCI nor the DDCI has been a military officer was during the Bush-Knoche Administration, from 1976 to 1977.)

Question:

Would you object to the publication of an overall Intelligence Budget figure?

Answer:

- I do not think that publication of such a figure would be either
 enlightening to the public or advisable from the standpoint of security.
- As noted in the House Intelligence Committee's report on the Fiscal
 Year 1983 Intelligence Authorization Bill:

"Disclosure is not in the public interest. By itself, a single intelligence budget total would probably not harm intelligence activities or capabilities. Such a number, however, would be meaningless in a vacuum. . . . the description which would be necessary to make the budget figure comprehensible would itself be highly sensitive. It is in this context that the committee fears that budget disclosure can be harmful and inconsistent with the primary purpose of secrecy in intelligence matters."